

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING
November 3, 2005, 8:30 a.m.**

**CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ABILENE, TEXAS
COUNCIL CHAMBERS, CITY HALL**

The City Council of the City of Abilene, Texas, met in Regular Session on November 3, 2005, at 8:30 a.m. in the Council Chambers at 555 Walnut Street. Mayor Archibald was present and presiding with Councilmen Sam Chase, Anthony Williams, Kris Southward, John Hill, Stormy Higgins and Councilwoman Laura Moore. Also present were City Manager Larry Gilley, City Attorney Sharon Hicks, Assistant City Secretary Renee Scott, and various members of the City staff.

A moment of silent prayer was held in honor of the late Civil Rights leader Rosa Parks.

Councilman Williams gave the invocation.

Mayor Archibald introduced Baylee Wilcox a 5th grade student from Bonham Elementary School who led the Pledge of Allegiance. Baylee's mother, brother and principal were also present.

PROCLAMATIONS

Mayor Archibald presented the following proclamations:

- "Youth Appreciation Week" November 6th – 12th – Mike Hill of the Breakfast Optimist Club
- "Radiologic Technology Week" November 6th – 12th – Richard Shelburn, Director of Radiology for Hendrick Medical Center

DISPOSITION OF MINUTES

Mayor Archibald stated the October 25, 2005 Regular Council Meeting Minutes will be submitted for Council consideration at the November 17, 2005 meeting.

CONSENT AGENDA

Mayor Archibald stated item 3.2, Resolution authorizing approval of the sale of two (2) parking lot properties located in the 900 Block of South 1st Street between Chestnut and Oak Streets, has been removed from today's agenda.

3.0 Councilman Hill made a motion to approve consent agenda items 3.1 and 3.3, first reading of item 3.4 and second and final reading of item 3.5, as recommended by staff. Councilwoman Moore seconded the motion, and the motion carried.

AYES: Councilmen Chase, Williams, Hill, Higgins, Southward, Councilwoman Moore, and Mayor Archibald.

NAYS: None

Resolutions:

- 3.1 Resolution approving the submission of a grant application for the 2005-2006 Selective Traffic Enforcement Program, "S.T.E.P."

The Resolution is numbered **33-2005** and captioned as follows:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF ABILENE, TEXAS PROVIDING FOR THE APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR THE GRANT FUNDS FROM THE STATE OF TEXAS FOR A SELECTIVE TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM, "S.T.E.P."

- 3.2 *Removed from the Consent Agenda:* Resolution authorizing approval of the sale of two (2) parking lot properties located in the 900 Block of South 1st Street between Chestnut and Oak Streets.
- 3.3 Resolution requiring Atmos Gas to Show Cause of the Reasonableness of its Existing Natural Gas Distribution Rates Within the City.

The Resolution is numbered **34-2005** and captioned as follows:

A RESOLUTION BY ABILENE, TEXAS ORDERING ATMOS ENERGY, MID-TEX DIVISION TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING THE REASONABLENESS OF ITS EXISTING NATURAL GAS DISTRIBUTION RATES WITHIN THE CITY; REQUIRING ATMOS ENERGY, MID-TEX DIVISION TO SUBMIT A RATE PACKAGE BASED ON A RATE YEAR ENING JUNE 30, 2005; DIRECTING THAT SUCH FILING SHALL BE MADE BY DECEMBER 31, 2005; REQUIRING REIMBURSEMENT OF REASONABLE LEGAL AND CONSULTANT EXPENSES; AND REQUIRING DELIVERY OF THIS RESOLUTION TO THE COMPANY AND LEGAL COUNSEL.

Ordinances:

- 3.4 An Ordinance revoking the City of Abilene's 2001 election to not provide five-year vesting for employees of the City of Abilene, Texas, who are members of the Texas Municipal Retirement System and amending Section 2-99 of the Abilene Municipal Code.

AN ORDINANCE REVOKING THE CITY OF ABILENE'S 2001 ELECTION TO NOT PROVIDE FIVE-YEAR VESTING FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE CITY OF ABILENE, TEXAS, WHO ARE MEMBERS OF THE TEXAS MUNICIPAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM AND AMENDING SECTION 2-99 OF THE ABILENE MUNICIPAL CODE.

- 3.5 Ordinance denying the Atmos Gas Reliability Infrastructure Program (GRIP) rate increase.

The Ordinance is numbered **57-2005** and captioned as follows:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ABILENE, TEXAS, DENYING THE REQUEST OF ATMOS ENERGY CORP., MID-TEX DIVISION, FOR AN ANNUAL GAS

RELIABILITY INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM (GRIP) RATE INCREASE IN THIS MUNICIPALITY, AS A PART OF THE COMPANY'S STATEWIDE GAS UTILITY DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM; APPROVING COOPERATION WITH OTHER CITIES WITHIN THE ATMOS ENERGY CORP., MID-TEX DIVISION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AS PART OF THE ATMOS CITIES STEERING COMMITTEE (ACSC); AUTHORIZING ACSC TO HIRE LEGAL AND CONSULTING SERVICES AND TO NEGOTIATE WITH THE COMPANY AND DIRECT ANY NECESSARY LITIGATION; AUTHORIZING INTERVENTION AS PART OF ACSC IN ANY APPEAL OF THE CITY'S ACTION TO THE RAILROAD COMMISSION; PROVIDING A REQUIREMENT FOR A PROMPT REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE CITY; FINDING THAT THE MEETING AT WHICH THIS ORDINANCE IS PASSED IS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC AS REQUIRED BY LAW; AND PROVIDING FOR NOTICE OF THIS ORDINANCE TO ATMOS ENERGY CORP., MID-TEX DIVISION.

REGULAR AGENDA

4.1 Jon James, Director of Planning and Development Services briefed the Council on an ordinance considered on second and final reading to amend Chapter 6, Animals and Fowl, Article II, Pets, Section 6-30 of the Abilene City Code clarifying number of animals restricted and Section 6-94 regarding redemption of unvaccinated animals.

Mr. James stated there are two (2) proposed amendments to the animal ordinance, one is to clarify that only four (4) dogs or cats are allowed at any one residence, business or property, and the second proposed amendment is to Sec. 6-94 of the City of Abilene Municipal Code to remove the fee from the ordinance and move it to the fee schedule that Council reviews periodically. The proposed amendments to the animal ordinance are shown as follows, with the words marked through to be removed from the ordinance and the bolded words to be added.

Sec. 6-30 Number kept restricted.

No ~~person~~ **residence, business or property within the city** shall harbor or keep more than four (4) dogs or four (4) cats or any combination of cats and dogs when the total exceeds four (4) animals over the age of ten (10) weeks except in an animal pound **or shelter**, clinic, hospital or kennel as provided by the ~~zoning ordinance of the city~~ **City of Abilene Municipal Code**.

Sec. 6-94 Redemption of unvaccinated animal.

The owner of any animal over the age of four (4) months, which has not been vaccinated as required by this chapter, upon satisfactory proof of ownership, shall pay to the ~~animal control department~~ **City of Abilene Animal Services** the sum of ten dollars (\$10.00) for ~~rabies vaccination and city tag~~ **applicable fees to cover the cost of rabies vaccination and city tag for the animal before the animal can be redeemed**. Upon payment of the fee, a receipt for the shots shall be given to the owner to be honored by the veterinarian designated by the owner. The owner of the animal shall have seventy-two (72) hours ~~to take the animal and receipt to the veterinarian for vaccination~~ **from the time**

the receipt is issued to take the animal and receipt to the veterinarian for vaccination. After the expiration of seventy two (72) hours from the date of issuance of the receipt if the animal is not vaccinated by the designated veterinarian the ten dollar fee shall be forfeited to the city. *Failure to vaccinate within the stated time period will result in forfeiture of the rabies vaccination and city tag fees to the city.* Each month all veterinarians shall turn in all receipts that they have received and the city shall reimburse them for the full value of each receipt.

Mr. James noted letters and/or verbal approval of the proposed amendments has been received from Dr. Jack K. Callan, Abilene Animal Services Advisory Board Chairman, Paul Washburn of Rescue the Animals, and Dr. Larry Ellis, City of Abilene contracted Veterinarian. Staff and the Animal Services Advisory Board recommend approval of the amendments.

Council and staff discussion included: 1) the rationale for determining four (4) as the number of animals allowed per residence, business, or property; 2) staff's proposal to clarify the number of animals restricted per residence, business, or property versus person; 3) the proposed amendment to "Sec 6-94. Redemption of unvaccinated animal", addresses removing the fee amount for rabies vaccination and city tag, but clarification needed on enforcement issues (procedurally and fine/forfeiture); 4) concerns regarding the number of animals in duplexes and quadplexes; 5) staff's interpretation of a duplex, property, and a home with an apartment, and; 10) the Animal Services division processing complaints.

Mayor Archibald opened a public hearing on the item and the following individuals addressed Council:

- Lydia Long – Ms. Long stated she lives in an older neighborhood and her home has an apartment and from her interpretation of the proposed ordinance she could have eight (8) dogs. Ms. Long further stated she feels the proposed ordinance needs to address the issue of the number of animals due to the number of rental property in the city and the idea of per residence needs to be addressed. Ms. Long commended the work performance of the staff at the Animal Services division.
- Victoria Carter – Ms. Carter stated her concerns regarding the number of four (4) animals per residence. Ms. Carter further stated this issue deals with health and safety concerns, size of the animals, and the size of the residential lot. Ms. Carter noted that she currently has six (6) dogs and if this proposed ordinance is passed she will have to get to rid of two (2) of the dogs. Ms. Carter stated boundaries and limitations are needed and this proposed ordinance could be viewed as discriminating against low income citizens. Ms. Carter noted she is not a member of an animal rights organization.

Discussion continued as follows: 1) issues regarding the number of animals per unit, the size of the animal, and health and safety concerns; 2) reviewing definition of residences and businesses; 3) tabling the item to allow staff time to contact agencies (i.e., Rescue the Animals, the Humane Society, and Animal Control) for their input on the issues discussed and for further review; 4) health and

City Council Meeting
November 3, 2005
Page 5

safety versus size/weight of animals and lot sizes; 5) staff having received letters and/or verbal approval on amendments from Abilene Animal Services Advisory Board Chairman, Rescue the Animals, and City of Abilene contracted Veterinarian; 6) the need for a comprehensive review of duplexes and quadplexes; 7) individuals viewing the amendments as taking of property and being in violation of their Federal Constitutional Rights; 8) the expense of caring for and having animals properly vaccinated, and; 9) staff noting if the item is not approved enforcement of the ordinance would be as its currently written and interpreted per person.

There being no one else present and desiring to be heard, the public hearing was closed.

Councilman Chase made a motion to table the ordinance considered on second and final reading to amend Chapter 6, Animals and Fowl, Article II, Pets, Section 6-30 of the Abilene City Code clarifying number of animals restricted and Section 6-94 regarding redemption of unvaccinated animals until a later date while some of the issues discussed are being resolved. Councilman Southward seconded the motion, and the motion carried.

AYES: Councilmen Chase, Higgins, Southward, Councilwoman Moore, and Mayor Archibald.

NAYS: Councilman Williams and Hill.

4.2 Ronnie Kidd, Director of Administrative Services briefed the Council on staff's recommendation that Council authorize by oral resolution a Public Safety Communications Computer Assisted Dispatch, Records Management and Mobile Data System contract.

Staff recommends that Council approve contracting with Intergraph Public Safety and its collaborative business partners to provide the CAD/RMS/MDS component of the Public Safety Communications Systems replacement project. The phased project plan includes the following:

- **Phase 1 – Needs assessment, Conceptual System Designs & Budgetary Cost Estimate**
 - Requirements Definition through Interviews
 - Development of Alternatives
 - Conceptual Solutions & Budgetary Costs
- **Phase 2 – Development of RFP & Vendor Selection**
 - Detailed Configuration & RFP Preparation
 - Proposal Solicitation & Proposal Evaluation
 - Contract Negotiations
- **Phase 3 – Development of RFP & Vendor Selection**
 - Project Implementation Mgt.
 - System Acceptance Testing
 - Cut-over Plan & User Training

Mr. Kidd discussed the objectives of the project as replacing obsolete systems that currently support the delivery of Public Safety services and described those objectives as follows:

- Improve response times to citizens' calls for routine and emergency services
- Reduce City's risk and help better protect the lives and property of the citizens of Abilene
- Improve First Responders' safety

City Council Meeting

November 3, 2005

Page 6

- Address cancellation of vendor support as product life cycles end
- Provide Fire Department with current technology and tools it does not have today
- Provide for evolution of technology

The inter-departmental proposal evaluation team working with the communications consultants, RCC Consultants, Inc. consists of the following individuals:

- Melvin Martin – Chief of Police
- Jim Berry – Deputy Chief of Police
- Ken Merchant – Deputy Chief of Police
- Mark Moore – Deputy Chief of Police
- Brad Fitzer – Fire Chief
- Alan Plumlee – Assistant Fire Chief
- Ronnie Kidd – Director of Administrative Services
- Mark Hoover – Assistant Director of Administrative Services
- Larry Swanson – Communications Services Manager
- Fire & Police operational personnel
(Dispatch, Patrol, Investigations, Records, Suppression, Prevention, EMTs, Information Technology, other User Divisions across the organization)
- RCC Consultants, Inc.

Mr. Kidd stated the system features sought by the proposal evaluation team were as follows:

- RFP set “minimum system requirements”
- Open architecture, readily supporting integration
- Ability to evolve/expand with technology evolution
- Automatic Vehicle Location (AVL)
- Field Reporting
- “Hot Spot” Update Capability for Mobile Data
- Field Citations
- Officer Emergency Assistance Notification
- Enhancements for Fire Department Operations

Mr. Kidd further stated the City received seven (7) responses to its Request for Proposals for the CAD/RMS/MDS systems and explained the proposal evaluation process as follows:

- Released RFP and conducted proposers’ conference
- Received seven system proposals (in alphabetical order)
 - InterAct
 - Intergraph
 - Motorola
 - New World
 - Positron
 - SunGard/OSSI
 - Tiburon
- City’s Evaluation Team reviewed each proposal

City Council Meeting

November 3, 2005

Page 7

- Vendor list was cut to “top four” distinguishing factors were maturity and dynamic capabilities of the systems
- Each of the four vendors made oral presentations to evaluation team – list cut to top two (Intergraph and Motorola)
- Proposed costs for the solutions were competitive, within approximately 3% of another
- Distinguishing factor between the two vendors became system integration features
 - Intergraph is an integrated solution sharing a common database between CAD & RMS
 - Motorola deals with individual CAD and RMS systems acquired through acquisition that are interfaced
- The Evaluation Team began final negotiations with Intergraph Public Safety relative to its proposed solution
- Intergraph brought a business partner to the table to provide the mobile data solution – L3

Following the vendor presentations, the project team continued their evaluation process, resulting in further analysis of the two (2) top ranked vendors (Intergraph and Motorola), and additional diligence included telephone interviews with existing customers of both vendors as well as site visits to various facilities using the products, or some variation of the products. The evaluation and diligent efforts also involved numerous personnel who are responsible for day-to-day activities in dispatch, patrol, investigations, records management, fire suppression and prevention operations and information technology. Another point of significance is the fact that the CAD/RMS/MDS component of the overall Public Safety Communications System is quite complex and there are several vendors involved in providing the solution under the umbrella of the primary vendor. Interfaces are required among various vendors as well as to various internal databases and programs within the City’s overall portfolio of systems (i.e., GIS, Municipal Court, and Water). The CAD/RMS/MDS Cost, the overall project costs, and the financing plan summary are as follows:

- **CAD/RMS/MDS Cost**

- The total price for the Intergraph/L3 proposal is \$4,353,100

Note: In September, the evaluation team received notification that Motorola was discontinuing support of the Mobile Data System backbone. The evaluation team had not anticipated replacing the backbone component of the Mobile Data System. This development accounts for \$485,000 of the cost above.

- **Overall Project Costs**

Component	Cost
➢ Radio System	\$ 9,178,000
➢ CAD/RMS/MDS	\$ 4,353,100
➢ RCC Consulting Fees (Phase 1,2, & 3)	\$ 822,500
➢ Generator for EOC	\$ 60,000
➢ Debt Issuance Costs	\$ 80,000
➢ 9-1-1 Core System (funded by the 9-1-1 district)	\$ 1,102,600
➢ Communications Center	\$ 1,200,000
➢ Contingency	\$ <u>138,650</u>
➢ TOTAL COSTS	\$16,934,850

• Financing Plan Summary	
➤ Federal Grants	\$ 3,702,250
➤ CO 2005A (Systems) proposed December 1 st sale	\$10,930,000
➤ CO 2005 (Communications Center) sold June 9, 2005	\$ 1,200,000
➤ 9-1-1 District Funds	<u>\$ 1,102,600</u>
➤ TOTAL RESOURCES	\$16,934,850

Staff recommends Council approve by oral resolution contracting with Intergraph Public Safety systems and its collaborative business partner, L3 to provide the CAD/RMS/MDS component of the Public Safety Communications Systems replacement project, approve an amendment to the Consultant Services Agreement with RCC Consultants, Inc. for Phase III (Implementation) Services associated with the Public Safety Communications Project with the fees estimated at \$392,676, including an estimate for out-of-pocket expenses (travel and per diem) (*Agenda Item 4.3*), and approve the two resolutions concerning the overall financing plan (*Agenda Item 4.4*).

Council and staff discussion included: 1) staff clarifying the bidding versus request for proposal processes and Council noting the need for the top two bidders information to be provided to Council; 2) staff noting the top two bidders were within \$100,000 of each other; 3) implementation of the project timeline; 4) grant funds utilization; 5) the COPS grant funding and FEMA grants being used over the next three fiscal years; 6) the Homeland Security grant funds utilization; 7) no future grants available at the current time; 8) Council's recognition of staff's work involved in the research of the project; 7) issues concerning interoperability; 8) cost, and purpose, of mobile communication trailers being approved at \$110,000 a piece funded by Homeland Security grant, and; 11) the need to move forward on this issue for the safety of all the citizens.

Mayor Archibald stated one motion can be entertained for all three agenda items (item 4.2, item 4.3, and item 4.4). The Mayor requested David Wright, Director of Finance brief the Council on item 4.4, a resolution Directing the Publication of Notice of Intention to Issue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2005A and a resolution Expressing Official Intent to Reimburse Costs with Certificates of Obligation for the Public Safety Communications System.

4.4 David Wright briefed the Council on a resolution Directing the Publication of Notice of Intention to Issue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2005A to support this project in the amount of \$10,930,000 with a Notice of Sale taking place on December 1, 2005 and it is anticipated that the funds would arrive by December 22, 2005 and the clarification of a previous resolution Council approved Expressing Official Intent to Reimburse Costs with a cap of 10 million that has now been changed to a cap of 11 million, with Certificates of Obligation for the Public Safety Communications System.

Mr. Wright stated clarification is also needed on the maturity of the debt since previous Council discussion included equal principle repayment over a 12 year period (which is staff's recommendation based on the high technology nature of the project) versus a 15 year repayment

period. Mr. Wright referenced the two schedules noting the 15 year repayment period has an additional \$800,000 in interest cost.

**City of Abilene
Certificate of Obligation Issue
for Communications System
Assumed December 1, 2005 issuance
12 Year Principal Repayment
Equal Annual Principal: 2008 through 2019**

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Projected Taxable Value (1)</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest (2)</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Projected Tax Rate Impact (3)</u>
2006	\$ 3,685,000,000				
2007	3,758,700,000	\$ -	\$ 824,837	\$ 824,837	\$ 0.0226
2008	3,833,874,000	915,000	465,318	1,380,318	0.0371
2009	3,910,551,480	915,000	428,031	1,343,031	0.0354
2010	3,988,762,510	910,000	389,708	1,299,708	0.0336
2011	4,068,537,760	910,000	350,805	1,260,805	0.0319
2012	4,149,908,515	910,000	311,448	1,221,448	0.0303
2013	4,232,906,685	910,000	271,180	1,181,180	0.0288
2014	4,317,564,819	910,000	230,230	1,140,230	0.0272
2015	4,403,916,115	910,000	189,280	1,099,280	0.0257
2016	4,491,994,438	910,000	148,330	1,058,330	0.0243
2017	4,581,834,326	910,000	106,925	1,016,925	0.0229
2018	4,673,471,013	910,000	64,610	974,610	0.0215
2019	4,766,940,433	<u>910,000</u>	<u>21,613</u>	<u>931,613</u>	0.0201
		\$10,930,000	\$ 3,802,313	\$ 14,732,313	

(1) Growth in taxable value assumed at 2% per year

(2) Assumed interest rate = 4.49%

(3) Based on 97% collections.

**City of Abilene
Certificate of Obligation Issue
for Communications System
Assumed December 1, 2005 issuance
15 Year Principal Repayment
Equal Annual Principal: 2008 through 2022**

<u>Projected Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Taxable Value (1)</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest (2)</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Projected Tax Rate Impact (3)</u>
2006	\$ 3,685,000,000				
2007	3,758,700,000	\$ -	\$ 852,462	\$ 852,462	\$ 0.0234
2008	3,833,874,000	730,000	484,303	1,214,303	0.0327
2009	3,910,551,480	730,000	453,278	1,183,278	0.0312

**City Council Meeting
November 3, 2005
Page 10**

2010	3,988,762,510	730,000	421,340	1,151,340	0.0298
2011	4,068,537,760	730,000	388,490	1,118,490	0.0283
2012	4,149,908,515	730,000	355,640	1,085,640	0.0270
2013	4,232,906,685	730,000	322,790	1,052,790	0.0256
2014	4,317,564,819	730,000	289,940	1,019,940	0.0244
2015	4,403,916,115	730,000	257,090	987,090	0.0231
2016	4,491,994,438	730,000	224,240	954,240	0.0219
2017	4,581,834,326	730,000	191,025	921,025	0.0207
2018	4,673,471,013	730,000	157,080	87,080	0.0196
2019	4,766,940,433	725,000	122,706	847,706	0.0183
2020	4,862,279,242	725,000	88,088	813,088	0.0172
2021	4,959,524,827	725,000	53,106	778,106	0.0162
2022	5,058,715,323	<u>725,000</u>	<u>17,763</u>	<u>742,763</u>	0.0151
		\$10,930,000	\$ 4,679,340	\$ 15,609,340	

- (1) Growth in taxable value assumed at 2% per year
- (2) Assumed interest rate = 4.63%
- (3) Based on 97% collections.

Council and staff discussion included: 1) the expected life for the new Public Safety Communications system is 8 to 10 years, but staff feels the system can be maintained for approximately 15 years; 2) the expandable capabilities of the new system; 3) staff explained due to Y2K issues, a system upgrade to the current system had to be done, and; 4) Council noted that in a few years the new system will result in about a 5% tax rate increase and that it is important that citizens be informed of that.

Councilwoman Moore made a motion to approve by oral resolution *agenda item 4.2*, authorizing a Public Safety Communications Computer Assisted Dispatch, Records Management and Mobile Data System contract; *agenda item 4.3*, by oral resolution an amendment to Consultant Services Agreement with RCC Consultants, Inc. for Phase III (Implementation) Services associated with the Public Safety Communications Project; and *agenda item 4.4*, a resolution Directing the Publication of Notice of Intention to Issue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2005A and a resolution Expressing Official Intent to Reimburse Costs with Certificates of Obligation for the Public Safety Communications System under a 12 year principle repayment schedule. Councilman Hill seconded the motion, and the motion carried.

AYES: Councilmen Chase, Williams, Hill, Higgins, Southward, Councilwoman Moore, and Mayor Archibald.

NAYS: None

The Resolution is numbered 35-2005 and captioned as follows:

DIRECTING PUBLICATION OF NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ISSUE COMBINATION TAX AND REVENUE CERTIFICATES OF OBLIGATION, SERIES 2005-A

The Resolution is numbered 36-2005 and captioned as follows:

RESOLUTION EXPRESSING OFFICIAL INTENT TO REIMBURSE COSTS OF
CERTIFICATES OF OBLIGATION IMPROVEMENTS.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mayor Archibald recessed the Council into Executive Session pursuant to Sections 551.071, 551.074, and 551.072 of the Open Meetings Act, to seek the advice of the City Attorney with respect to pending and contemplated litigation, to consider the appointment and evaluation of public officers, and to consider the purchase, exchange, lease or value of real property.

Councilman Hill left the meeting during Executive Session.

The Council reconvened from Executive Session and reported no votes or action was taken in Executive Session.

5.4 No Council consideration or action was taken on the annual performance evaluations of the City Manager, City Attorney, City Secretary, or Municipal Judge.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:45 p.m.

Renee Scott
Assistant City Secretary

Norm Archibald
Mayor